

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.    | FILING DATE            | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/566,327         | 01/27/2006             | Kenji Ogawa          | MAT-8808US          | 3515             |
| 52473<br>RATNERPRE | 7590 09/08/200<br>STLA | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| P.O. BOX 980       |                        | MCCOMMAS, STUART S   |                     |                  |
| VALLEY FOR         | RGE, PA 19482          |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                    |                        |                      | 2629                |                  |
|                    |                        |                      |                     |                  |
|                    |                        |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                    |                        |                      | 09/08/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/566,327      | OGAWA ET AL. |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |
| Stuart McCommas | 2629         |  |

|   | Stuart McCommas   | 2629                                     |             |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -<br>Period for Reply   |   |  |             |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 113(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the measurem statutory peniod will apply and visit explice SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the measurem statutory peniod will apply and visit explice SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any examed painter mail adjustment. See 37 CFR 17 CMP. |   |  |             |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |             |  |  |  |  |
| N Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju     N This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E  | action is non-final.<br>ce except for formal matters, pro   |  | e merits is |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |  |             |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   |   |  |             |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |  |             |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  | epted or b)  objected to by the I<br>drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See<br>on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | a 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 C |             |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |             |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati<br>ity documents have been received<br>(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | on No<br>ed in this National             | Stage       |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |             |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   | лП.,  | (DTG 440)                                |             |  |  |  |  |

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Ir formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Application/Control Number: 10/566,327 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite
for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant
regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the first initialization period" in line 24 of the claim.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura (United States Patent Application Publication 2002/0021264), hereinafter referenced as Nakamura, in view of Kim et al. (United States Patent 7,109,951), hereinafter referenced as Kim.

Regarding claim 1, Nakamura discloses a method of driving a plasma display panel 15, the plasma display panel including discharge cells 16, each discharge cell Application/Control Number: 10/566,327

Art Unit: 2629

formed at an intersection of a scan electrode and a sustain electrode, and a data electrode (figure 3), the method comprising:

dividing one field period into a plurality of sub-fields, each sub-field having an initializing or priming discharge period with an erasure period, a writing period, and sustaining period (figure 9):

performing in the priming discharge period and in the erasure period either an all cell initializing operation or a selective initializing operation, where the all-cell initializing operation causes initializing discharge in all the discharge cells for displaying an image (paragraphs 58-63; figure 8; figure 9) and the selective initializing operation selectively causes initializing discharge using the erasure pulse Pe only in the discharge cells where sustaining pulses and sustaining discharge occurred in the previous sub-field (paragraph 63; figure 9). Further Nakamura discloses that each of the initializing periods for performing the all-cell initializing operation has a former half part and a latter half part of the priming discharge period (figure 9), where in the former half part there is application of an ascending ramp waveform voltage Pp to the scan electrodes that causes a first initializing discharge using the scan electrodes as anodes and the sustain electrodes and data electrodes as cathodes (paragraph 60; figure 9) and where in the latter half part, application of a descending ramp waveform voltage Ppe to the scan electrodes causes a second initializing discharge using the scan electrodes as the cathodes and the sustain electrodes and data electrodes as the anodes (paragraph 60: figure 9).

Application/Control Number: 10/566,327

Art Unit: 2629

However Nakamura fails to disclose an abnormal discharge part and a ramp waveform voltage which is ranging from a voltage with the same polarity as the voltage applied during the former half part of the initialization period to a voltage reverse in polarity thereto, and in the abnormal charge erasing part, application of a rectangular waveform voltage, reverse in polarity to the voltage applied during the first initialization period, followed by supplying it with a rectangular waveform voltage reverse in polarity to the scan electrodes causes self-erasing discharge in the discharge cells having excessive wall charge accumulated therein.

However the examiner maintains that it was well known in the art to provide an abnormal discharge part and a ramp waveform voltage which is ranging from a voltage with the same polarity as the voltage applied during the former half part of the initialization period to a voltage reverse in polarity thereto, and in the abnormal charge erasing part, application of a rectangular waveform voltage, reverse in polarity to the voltage applied during the first initialization period, followed by supplying it with a rectangular waveform voltage reverse in polarity to the scan electrodes causes self-erasing discharge in the discharge cells having excessive wall charge accumulated therein, as taught by Kim.

In a similar field of invention Kim discloses a method and apparatus for driving plasma display panel. In addition, Kim discloses an abnormal discharge part (figure 8) and a ramp waveform voltage which is ranging from a voltage with the same polarity as the voltage applied during the former half part of the initialization period to a voltage reverse in polarity thereto (column 8 lines 36-63; figure 6; figure 8), and in the abnormal

Application/Control Number: 10/566,327 Page 5

Art Unit: 2629

charge erasing part, application of a rectangular waveform voltage, reverse in polarity to the voltage applied during the first initialization period (figure 8), followed by supplying it with a rectangular waveform voltage reverse in polarity to a voltage applied during the initialization period (figure 8) to the scan electrodes causes self-erasing discharge in the discharge cells having excessive wall charge accumulated therein (column 8 lines 64-67; column 9 lines 1-25; figure 8).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Nakamura by specifically providing an abnormal discharge part and a ramp waveform voltage which is ranging from a voltage with the same polarity as the voltage applied during the former half part of the initialization period to a voltage reverse in polarity thereto, and in the abnormal charge erasing part, application of a rectangular waveform voltage, reverse in polarity to the voltage applied during the first initialization period, followed by supplying it with a rectangular waveform voltage reverse in polarity to the scan electrodes causes self-erasing discharge in the discharge cells having excessive wall charge accumulated therein for the purpose of allowing excess charge to be controlled and erased to avoid misfires in a plasma display panel to improve the quality of the display (column 3 lines 27-41).

## Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are believed to be answered by and therefore moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/566,327

Art Unit: 2629

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stuart McCommas whose telephone number is (571)270-3568. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Eisen can be reached on (571)272-7687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Stuart McCommas Examiner Art Unit 2629

SSM

/Alexander Fisen/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629